

First Circuit Opinion Summaries by Findlaw

- [First Circuit Opinion Summaries by Findlaw](#) - with links to full-text opinions arranged by month.

Most Recent Summaries

US v. Martinez-Lantigua

May 23, 2017

Evidence, Criminal Law & Procedure

(United States First Circuit) - Conviction of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and possession with intent to distribute at least 15 kilograms but less than 50 kilograms of cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A), and 846, are affirmed where: 1) because the entire drug deal was captured on video and audio -- which show defendant inspecting the drugs with his own hands -- there was sufficient evidence to convict; and 2) defendant's claims that there were errors at his trial are without merit.

US v. Edwards

May 19, 2017

Sentencing, Criminal Law & Procedure

(United States First Circuit) - Sentence under the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA), 18 U.S.C. section 924(e) for guilty plea to multiple federal firearms offenses, 18 U.S.C. section 922(g), is affirmed on different grounds where defendant's armed- assault-with-intent-to-murder conviction counts as his third ACCA predicate.

US v. Houston

May 19, 2017

Sentencing, Criminal Law & Procedure

(United States First Circuit) - Sentences for convictions of transporting a from Maine to Massachusetts and back to Maine over an eight-day period with the intent that the minor engage in prostitution, 18 U.S.C. section 2421, are affirmed over defendants' meritless that the district court erroneously applied sentencing enhancements for undue influence and computer use, U.S.S.G. sections 2G1.3(b)(2)(B) and (b)(3)(B), that the government breached the terms of a proffer agreement in its sentencing memorandum, and that the district court failed to adequately weigh the 18 U.S.C. section 3553(a) factors when fashioning the sentence.

US v. Coombs

May 19, 2017

Criminal Law & Procedure, Sentencing

(United States First Circuit) - Sentence and conviction of drug-trafficking crimes are affirmed over defendant's claim that the district court erred both in denying his multiple motions to suppress incriminating evidence and in fashioning his sentence.